VERSION 1.0

CRYPTO POLICY & REGULATION WATCH

EDITORIAL & RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

01 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

BitBullNews Crypto Policy & Regulation Watch is a weekly research publication that tracks legislative, regulatory, enforcement and judicial developments shaping digital-asset markets across the major jurisdictions, and turns them into a structured read of what changed, who it binds, when it takes effect and why it matters.

The Watch does not restate headlines. It reads each development against its primary source — the statute, rule, filing or ruling itself — establishes its legal stage and bindingness, and grades its likely market relevance. This document sets out how that assessment is built, the open and proprietary sources behind every claim, and the legal terms that govern the work.

RESEARCH PRINCIPLES

- **Primary-source-first.** No development is reported on commentary alone; the statute, rule, filing or ruling is read directly.
- **Status discipline.** Legislative stage, effective date and current standing are re-verified each edition, never assumed from prior coverage.
- **Neutrality.** The Watch reports what a rule says and does, not whether it is good policy; competing positions are attributed, not adopted.
- **Causal caution.** A market move that coincides with a policy event is reported as coincidence until the link is verified.
- **Date discipline and reproducibility.** Every development is dated, sourced and reconstructable from its primary record.

Publication	Weekly crypto policy and regulation research
Primary objective	Turn primary-source policy developments into a structured market-relevance read
Update frequency	Weekly
Reporting window	Fixed weekly window; developments dated by official action and noted in UTC
Maintained by	BitBullNews Research Desk

Review cadence	Quarterly, and after any major legislative or rulemaking change
Methodology version	Version 1.0

POSITIONING

The Watch treats policy as a structural input to markets, not a prediction of them. Every conclusion is tied to a verifiable primary source; where status or impact is uncertain, the Watch says so rather than guessing.

02 WHAT THE WATCH TRACKS

Clear distinctions prevent the most common error in policy coverage — treating a proposal as if it were law. The dimensions below are assessed the same way every edition, and the Watch always states which one applies.

INSTRUMENT OF POLICY

- **Legislation** — a bill or statute that creates binding law once enacted.
- **Rulemaking** — an agency rule implementing a statute, which may be proposed, final or in a comment period.
- **Enforcement** — an action, settlement or charge that signals how existing law is applied.
- **Guidance and no-action** — non-binding interpretation that nonetheless shapes behaviour.
- **Judicial** — a court ruling that interprets or constrains the law.
- **Executive and leadership** — orders, appointments and stated agency priorities that change posture.
- **International standard** — a cross-border framework or recommendation that members are expected to implement.

STAGE AND STATUS

- **Legislative stage** — where a bill sits: introduced, in committee, passed one chamber, in reconciliation, enacted, or awaiting signature.
- **Effective status** — whether an enacted law is in force, phased, or pending implementing rules and a stated effective date.
- **Bindingness** — whether the instrument creates obligations, sets non-binding expectations, or merely signals intent.
- **Scope and reach** — who is bound (issuers, exchanges, custodians, brokers, developers, DeFi protocols) and the territorial limits of that reach.

03 POLICY TYPES AND AUTHORITY CLASSIFICATION

A passed bill, a proposed rule and an enforcement settlement carry very different weight. The Watch never aggregates across instrument types without flagging which authority is acting and how binding the instrument is.

TYPE	WHAT IT IS AND EXAMPLES	AUTHORITY AND BINDINGNESS
Primary legislation		

TYPE	WHAT IT IS AND EXAMPLES	AUTHORITY AND BINDINGNESS
	Statutes passed by a legislature (the GENIUS Act; the CLARITY Act in progress).	Binding once enacted; sets the framework rules implement.
Secondary rulemaking	Agency rules implementing a statute (Treasury, SEC, CFTC, FDIC).	Binding when final; often phased with comment periods.
Enforcement	Charges, settlements and orders.	Binding on parties; precedent-setting in effect.
Guidance / no-action	Interpretive statements and staff letters.	Not binding, but shapes compliance.
Judicial	Court rulings and appeals.	Binding within jurisdiction; can override an agency reading.
Executive / leadership	Orders, chair appointments, stated priorities.	Sets posture; binding effect depends on follow-through.
International framework	FATF, FSB and similar standards.	Binding only as members implement them.

04 JURISDICTION FRAMEWORK

Regulatory status is jurisdiction-specific, and an issuer often sits under several regimes at once. For each development the Watch identifies the governing authority rather than assuming one.

- **United States** — Congress for legislation; the SEC for securities and the CFTC for commodities and derivatives; Treasury, FinCEN, the OCC and FDIC for stablecoins, banking and illicit-finance rules; and state regulators whose licensing regimes bind independently of federal law.
- **European Union** — MiCA for crypto-assets and stablecoins, with ESMA and the EBA issuing technical standards; MiFID II, the Prospectus Regulation and the DLT Pilot Regime for tokenized financial instruments.
- **United Kingdom** — the FCA and HM Treasury, building a phased regime for stablecoins and broader crypto activities.
- **Asia and the Middle East** — Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan and the UAE, each with its own licensing, reserve and disclosure requirements.
- **Global standard-setters** — FATF, the FSB and the BIS, whose recommendations shape national rules over time.

05 DATA SOURCES: OPEN AND PROPRIETARY

Official primary sources outrank commentary, and each item has a designated source of record. Sources fall into open (public, free-to-access) data and proprietary (licensed or paid) data; the Watch uses both but treats them differently.

OPEN AND PUBLIC SOURCES

- **Statute and bill text** — official legislative registries (such as Congress.gov and EUR-Lex) read in full, not summarized.

- **Regulator publications** — primary releases, proposed and final rules, and the Federal Register, from the SEC, CFTC, Treasury, FinCEN, the OCC, FDIC, ESMA, the EBA and the FCA.
- **Court records** — official dockets and published opinions for relevant litigation.
- **Official proceedings** — committee hearings, markups, voting records and legislative calendars.
- **Editorial context** — reputable reporting and named-expert commentary, used to frame and corroborate events rather than to source the legal facts.

PROPRIETARY AND LICENSED SOURCES

Some analysis draws on commercial legal and policy services accessed under licence and not redistributed in raw form; the Watch publishes only its own derived assessment and the commentary built on it.

- **Law-firm trackers and memos** — licensed client alerts and policy trackers used to corroborate status and interpretation.
- **Legal and government-intelligence platforms** — services such as Bloomberg Law and Bloomberg Government for filings, dockets and legislative tracking.
- **Policy-intelligence services** — paid analysis used as context, never as the sole basis for a status claim.

Where an assessment rests on a single proprietary interpretation, the Watch states this explicitly and corroborates the underlying facts against the primary source.

SOURCE OF RECORD BY ITEM

ITEM	PRIMARY SOURCE OF RECORD	CORROBORATING SOURCE
Bill text and stage	Official legislative registry	Committee record or chamber calendar
Rule and effective date	Regulator release / Federal Register	Agency fact sheet or legal analysis
Enforcement action	Regulator order or court filing	Regulator press release
Court ruling	Official docket / opinion	Reputable legal reporting
Regulatory status	Statute / rule / regulator statement	Reputable legal analysis
International standard	Standard-setter publication	National implementing measure

06 VERIFICATION AND STATUS-CHECKING

Before any development is published it passes a verification protocol designed to catch stale status, mis-stated bindingness and jurisdictional confusion.

- **Status re-verification.** The current legislative stage, effective date and standing of every cited development are confirmed against the primary source in the reporting window, never carried over from earlier coverage.

- **Two-source rule.** Every material claim is confirmed against at least two independent sources; where only the primary source exists, the Watch relies on it and says so.
- **Stage-versus-effect check.** The Watch confirms whether a law is merely passed, fully enacted, in force, or phased with a pending effective date before describing its impact.
- **Jurisdiction check.** Each development is tied to the authority that issued it and the parties it binds, so a US rule is not described as governing EU supply, or vice versa.
- **Reproducibility log.** Each edition records its sources, retrieval dates and the exact status of every development, so the analysis can be reconstructed after publication.

DISCLOSURE

The Watch discloses uncertainty rather than smoothing it over. Example: “A committee vote advanced the bill, but two members conditioned floor support on later changes, so passage is reported as advanced in committee, not assured.”

07 CAUSAL AND IMPACT-ATTRIBUTION FRAMEWORK

A development can be reported with confidence even when its market effect cannot. The Watch separates the two and grades every claim linking policy to market impact by the strength of the evidence behind it.

CONFIDENCE TIER	EVIDENCE REQUIRED	PERMITTED LANGUAGE
Confirmed	Two or more independent evidence types linking the policy to the effect.	State the link directly (“the rule requires …”).
Likely	One strong piece of evidence with supporting context.	Hedged (“the reaction coincided with …”, “a likely effect is …”).
Unconfirmed	The development is clear but its market effect is not.	State that the effect is not yet established; list candidate outcomes.

HARD RULE

The Watch never describes a bill as “law” until enactment is verified, and never asserts that a policy “caused” a market move unless the link meets the Confirmed tier.

Worked example. A token rallied the day a market-structure bill cleared committee. Whether the move reflected the vote, broader risk appetite or unrelated flows is not established, so the link is recorded as unconfirmed and the candidate explanations are listed.

08 HOW A RESEARCH EDITION IS PRODUCED

Each weekly edition is built through the same repeatable pipeline, from scanning developments to assessing their relevance, independent of any publishing format.

01 **Step 1 — Define the window and scan.** Fix the reporting period and review legislative, regulatory, enforcement and judicial activity across the tracked jurisdictions.

02 **Step 2 — Select the material developments.** Identify what genuinely changed — a vote, a final rule, a charge, a ruling, an effective date — rather than restating ongoing debate.

03 **Step 3 — Read the primary source.** Confirm what the instrument actually says and does from the statute, rule, filing or opinion itself.

04 **Step 4 — Classify.** Establish instrument type, legislative stage, bindingness, scope and governing jurisdiction.

05 **Step 5 — Verify status.** Apply the two-source rule and re-confirm the current standing and effective date.

06 **Step 6 — Assess relevance.** Grade which markets are affected, how soon, and the compliance burden, using the confidence tiers and listing candidates where impact is unclear.

07 **Step 7 — Separate fact from interpretation.** Distinguish what the rule does, what it may mean for markets and what is still uncertain, then log sources, dates and status for reproducibility.

FOUR DISTINCTIONS

The Watch keeps four things separate: a proposal (introduced or drafted), a passed measure (cleared a chamber or committee), an enacted law (signed and on the books) and an effective rule (in force and binding). A development at one stage is never described as if it had reached another.

RELEVANCE DISCIPLINE

The Watch avoids "this changes the market" until the instrument is binding and its scope established, because a proposed rule, a non-binding guidance note and a stalled bill do not carry the weight of enacted, effective law.

09 IMPACT AND MARKET-RELEVANCE ASSESSMENT

Policy analysis is incomplete without a relevance read. Each edition asks which parts of the market a development touches — stablecoin issuers, exchanges, custodians, brokers, token projects, DeFi protocols or developers; how soon it bites, given effective dates and phase-ins; what compliance burden it creates; and which participants it advantages or constrains, framed neutrally rather than as advocacy.

A development carries more weight when it is binding, near its effective date, broad in scope and backed by enforcement capacity. It carries less when it is a proposal, far from taking effect, narrow, or likely to change before it does. The Watch states which applies and never elevates a pending measure to a settled rule.

10 ACCURACY AND INTEGRITY RULES

01 **Never report a development on commentary alone.** Read the statute, rule, filing or ruling itself.

02 **Never call a bill "law" until enactment is verified.** Distinguish proposed, passed, enacted and effective.

03 **Never confuse binding rules with guidance.** Non-binding interpretation shapes behaviour but does not create obligations.

04 **Always identify the jurisdiction and the authority.** A US rule does not govern EU supply, and a state regime is not federal law.

05 **Always state who is bound.** Issuers, exchanges, custodians, developers and DeFi protocols may face very different obligations.

06 **Be careful with causality.** Use "coincided with," "followed" and "a likely effect is" until a policy-to-market link is confirmed.

07 **Date and re-verify every status.** Tie each development to its official action and confirm its current standing each edition.

08 **Report neutrally.** Attribute competing positions; do not adopt them.

11 LIMITATIONS AND CAVEATS

Policy moves quickly and unevenly, and the Watch is explicit about what it cannot tell readers. A bill that advances can still die; a proposed rule can change in finalization; effective dates can slip; and the same instrument can be read differently by different courts and counsel. Coverage of one jurisdiction does not transfer to another.

Accordingly, the Watch does not present proposals as settled law, reuse a status without re-checking it, treat guidance as binding, assume a development in one jurisdiction applies in another, predict legislative outcomes as certainties, or offer legal advice. This publication is research and information, not legal counsel.

12 LEGAL, COPYRIGHT AND DISCLAIMER

The following terms govern how this methodology, the research built on it and the third-party data behind it may be used.

OWNERSHIP

THIRD-PARTY DATA AND LICENSING

- **Source ownership —** underlying market, on-chain, filing and reference figures are obtained from third-party providers and public sources, each of which retains ownership of its own data.
- **Licensed datasets —** proprietary data, including premium analytics and institutional market data, is accessed under the providers' terms and is not republished in raw form; the Watch publishes only derived metrics, aggregates and original analysis.
- **Public data —** public on-chain data, filings and official disclosures are cited and attributed, and reproduced only to the limited extent needed to support reporting and commentary.

ATTRIBUTION, TRADEMARKS AND FAIR USE

- **Trademarks —** product, issuer, venue, protocol and data-provider names and logos are the trademarks of their respective owners and are used for identification and commentary only; no affiliation, endorsement or sponsorship is implied.
- **Factual figures —** individual data points are facts and are not themselves copyrightable; their selection, arrangement and the surrounding analysis are protected. Brief quotations from public sources are used for reporting and commentary with attribution.
- **Permitted use —** readers may quote limited excerpts with clear attribution and a link to the source; bulk copying, scraping or commercial redistribution requires a licence.

DISCLAIMER

This publication is research and information only. It is not legal, investment, financial or tax advice, and it is not an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any asset. Coverage may be incomplete, delayed or contain errors, and past patterns are not indicative of future results; readers should verify any development against its primary source and act on their own judgment and at their own risk.

Material errors are corrected transparently in the affected edition and the reproducibility log. Licensing, permission and correction requests are handled by the BitBullNews Research Desk.